

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/716,554	11/20/2003	Kuang-Chih Lai	FP9740	5685	
75	90 03/31/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC			LUEBKE,	LUEBKE, RENEE S	
5205 LEESBURG PIKE, SUITE 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22041			2833		
		DATE MAILED: 03/31/2009	DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{}$			
		10/716,554	LAI	(CM)			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Renee S. Luebke	2833				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION. INSIGN OF THIS COMMUNICATION. IN	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror e, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this comr ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 I	March 2005.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5) 🗌	Claim(s) 10-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraware Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 10-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on 20 November 2003 is/Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) [a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National St	age			
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail E 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		52)			

Application Number: 10/716,554 Page 2

Art Unit: 2833

1. The substitute specification submitted March 9, 2005 is appreciated and has been entered.

- 2. Claims 11-19 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - Each of claims 11-19 is missing text on the first line
- On line 2 of claim 19, it appears that -of- should be inserted after "edges." Appropriate corrections are required.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10, 13, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scholz, et al. The conduction members 400 comprise a first part having two protrusions 412, a second part 420 with a soldering part 432 and a middle part 418 arranged as claimed. In regard to claims 16 and 17, it is noted that the second part includes a second contact for soldering 308.
- 5. Claims 10, 12, 14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maldonado, et al. The conduction members 16 of this

Application Number: 10/716,554 Page 3

Art Unit: 2833

plate 10 comprise a first part having two protrusions, a second part 54 and a middle part 56. The middle part is inserted into an insertion hole. In regard to claims 16 and 17, it is noted that the second part includes a second contact that may be soldered (see column 8, lines 20-25).

- 6. Claims 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maldonado in view of DeFord, et al. Maldonado lacks the claimed length of the first elastic part (of claim 11) or the second elastic part (of claim 15). However, DeFord teaches the use of an elastic part 28 that is longer than the distance between adjacent middle parts 26. This allows more conduction members to be located on the plate. For the same reason, it would have been obvious to arrange and proportion the conduction members of Maldonado as taught by DeFord.
- 7. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maldonado in view of Wang, et al. Maldonado lacks flaps. However, the similar conduction member of Wang teaches the use of protrusions with flaps where the first flap 521 extends toward the second flap 521. This structure is used to securely maintain the member in place. For the same reason, it would have been obvious to include flaps on the member of Maldonado.
- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The contact of Hashiguchi, et al. comprises two protrusions, that are similar to those of the present invention.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2833

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 4

10. It is suggested that responses to this final action be faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Please refrain from sending a confirmation copy, as noted in 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(b).

Alternatively, responses may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mrs. Renee Luebke at (571) 272-2009. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Paula Bradley, can be reached at (571) 272-2800, extension 33.

Renee S. Luebke

Primary Patent Examiner

March 25, 2005